



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| 09/960,237 | 09/21/2001 | Andreas Herkersdorf | CH9-2000-0019US1(590.082) | 5867 |

35195 7590 08/16/2005

FERENCE & ASSOCIATES
409 BROAD STREET
PITTSBURGH, PA 15143

EXAMINER

VU, THONG H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2142

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/960,237

Applicant(s)

HERKERSDORF ET AL.

Examiner

Thong H. Vu

Art Unit

2142

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

1. Claims 1-16 are pending.
2. This application has priority of EPO # 00120733.1 filed 9/22/2000. The certified copy is not filed to record.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 7/15/05 with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Allen et al [Allen, 5,422,821] in view of Westfall et al [Westfall, 6,449,650 B1].

5. As per claim 1, Allen discloses a method for handling interactive information exchange through networks having a plurality of client machines, the method comprising the steps of:

composing a request message offering predetermined response options, whereby corresponding response messages are returned through said networks in one (or more) packet(s) [Allen, a forwarding rule of a database provides a menu to the operator for possible choices, col 1 lines 37-57];

setting up packet forwarding rules in said networks specifying a particular treatment for said returned packets dependent on said predetermined response options [Allen, the operator selects from the menu of choices (or options) according to known specified forwarding rules, col 4 line 56-5 line 12];

However Allen does not detail "sending said request message to said subset of client machines."

In the same endeavor, Westfall discloses a control system for a data communication network wherein the forwarding rules send the packets to the processing device and a user selects the one of plurality service template [Westfall, col 3 lines 23-45; col 15 lines 30-67] and subnet address [Westfall, col 8 lines 50-60]

Therefore it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the forwarding rules with the user selections at subnet location as taught by Westfall into the Allen's apparatus in order to utilize the client-server communications. Doing so would extended the service to the subset of clients over the subnet.

6. As per claim 2, Allen-Westfall disclose an initial step of receiving a subscription message from a subset of said client machines [Westfall, subnet address, col 8 lines 50-60].

7. As per claim 3, Allen-Westfall disclose said request message is composed in that said corresponding response message only consists of one packet as a design choice

Art Unit: 2142

of the response option [Allen, a forwarding rule of a database provides a menu to the operator for possible choices, col 1 lines 37-57].

8. As per claim 4, Allen-Westfall disclose said request message is composed in a way that said chosen predetermined response options is encoded in a data portion of said respective response packet [Allen, the operator selects from the menu of choices (or options) according to known specified forwarding rules, col 4 line 56-5 line 12].

9. As per claim 5, Allen-Westfall disclose said request message is composed in a hypertext document format and a program that can be executed on the client machines and composes said response messages upon an interaction of a user of a client machine [Westfall, Web services, Fig 5A-5C].

10. As per claim 6, Allen-Westfall disclose setting up forwarding rules includes the step of configuring one or more routers that forward said response packets [Allen, the operator selects from the menu of choices according to known specified forwarding rules, col 4 line 56-5 line 12].

11. As per claim 7, Allen-Westfall disclose configuring said routers includes the step of instructing said routers to discard response messages containing predetermined response options as a filter [Westfall, router configuration, col 2 lines 2].

12. As per claim 8, Allen-Westfall disclose configuring said routers includes the step of instructing said routers to forward response messages containing a certain response option to a specified host connected to one of said networks [Westfall, router configuration, col 2 lines 2].

13. As per claim 9, Allen-Westfall disclose configuring said routers combine more than one response messages arriving in a given time frame and to forward the combined messages as one message [Westfall, router configuration, col 2 lines 2].

14. As per claim 10, Allen-Westfall disclose configuring said routers includes the step of instructing at least one of said routers to store the selected option of said response options in conjunction with the identity of the sender [Westfall, router configuration, col 2 lines 2].

15. As per claim 11, Allen-Westfall disclose configuring said routers includes the step of instructing at least one of said routers to determine the amount of received response messages for each response option [Westfall, router configuration, col 2 lines 2].

16. As per claim 12, Allen-Westfall disclose the steps of receiving response messages and sending a second request message only to client machines from which a response message containing a certain response option was received .

Art Unit: 2142

17. As per claim 13, Allen-Westfall disclose receiving response messages and storing the options chosen grouped by said sending client machines [Allen, the operator selects from the menu of choices (or options) according to known specified forwarding rules, col 4 line 56-5 line 12].

18. As per claim 14, Allen-Westfall disclose a computer program product stored on a computer usable medium [Westfakk, CD-ROM, disk drives, col 13 lines 12-22].

19. Claims 15,16 contain the similar limitations set forth of claim 1. Therefore, claims 15,16 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Jeffrey et al [Jeffrey, 6,085,233].

20. As per claim 1, Jeffrey discloses a method for handling interactive information exchange through networks having a plurality of client machines [Jeffrey, Internet, col 9 line 16], the method comprising the steps of:

composing a request message offering predetermined response options,
whereby corresponding response messages are returned through said networks in one

Art Unit: 2142

(or more) packet(s) [Jeffrey, any particular predefined sequence of modes or procedures, col 2 lines 25-34; packet of data, col 10 lines 56-61];

setting up packet forwarding rules [Jeffrey, the forwarding rule is set to simple, col 41 line 62-col 42 line 8] in said networks specifying a particular treatment for said returned packets dependent on said predetermined response options [Jeffrey, the forwarding rule may be specified, col 27 lines 1-15; the user views the outputs including the list of options and respond, col 46 lines 1-18];

sending said request message to said subset of client machines [Jeffrey, subnet, col 92 lines 46-60].

21. As per claim 2, Jeffrey discloses an initial step of receiving a subscription message from a subset of said client machines [Jeffrey, subnet, col 92 lines 46-60].

22. As per claim 3, Jeffrey discloses said request message is composed in that said corresponding response message only consists of one packet as a design choice of the response option.

23. As per claim 4, Jeffrey discloses said request message is composed in a way that said chosen predetermined response options is encoded in a data portion of said respective response packet [Jeffrey, encoding information, col 5 line 67].

Art Unit: 2142

24. As per claim 5, Jeffrey discloses said request message is composed in a hypertext document format and a program that can be executed on the client machines and composes said response messages upon an interaction of a user of a client machine [Jeffrey, Internet, col 9 line 16].

25. As per claim 6, Jeffrey discloses setting up forwarding rules includes the step of configuring one or more routers that forward said response packets [Jeffrey, the forwarding rule may be specified, col 27 lines 1-15].

26. As per claim 7, Jeffrey discloses configuring said routers includes the step of instructing said routers to discard response messages containing predetermined response options as a filter [Jeffrey, router, col 9 line 16].

27. As per claim 8, Jeffrey discloses configuring said routers includes the step of instructing said routers to forward response messages containing a certain response option to a specified host connected to one of said networks [Jeffrey, router, col 9 line 16].

28. As per claim 9, Jeffrey discloses configuring said routers combine more than one response messages arriving in a given time frame and to forward the combined messages as one message [Jeffrey, router, col 9 line 16].

Art Unit: 2142

29. As per claim 10, Jeffrey discloses configuring said routers includes the step of instructing at least one of said routers to store the selected option of said response options in conjunction with the identity of the sender [Jeffrey, router, col 9 line 16].

30. As per claim 11, Jeffrey discloses configuring said routers includes the step of instructing at least one of said routers to determine the amount of received response messages for each response option [Jeffrey, router, col 9 line 16].

31. As per claim 12, Jeffrey discloses the steps of receiving response messages and sending a second request message only to client machines from which a response message containing a certain response option was received [Jeffrey, the forwarding rule may be specified, col 27 lines 1-15; the user views the outputs including the list of options and respond, col 46 lines 1-18].

32. As per claim 13, Jeffrey discloses receiving response messages and storing the options chosen grouped by said sending client machines [Jeffrey, user group, col 61 lines 23-53].

33. As per claim 14, Jeffrey discloses a computer program product stored on a computer usable medium [Jeffrey, database 212,214, Fig 2].

Art Unit: 2142

34. Claims 15,16 contain the similar limitations set forth of claim 1. Therefore, claims 15,16 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

35. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Owens et al [Owens, 6,633,630 B1].

36. As per claim 1, Owens discloses a method for handling interactive information exchange through networks having a plurality of client machines [Owens, internet col 7 line 21], the method comprising the steps of:

composing a request message offering predetermined response options, whereby corresponding response messages are returned through said networks in one (or more) packet(s) [Owens, selects the forward options to define rules, col 3 lines 40-57];

setting up packet forwarding rules [Owens, selects the forward options to define rules, col 3 lines 40-57] in said networks specifying a particular treatment for said returned packets dependent on said predetermined response options [Owens, the message receiver selects options, col 7 lines 11-48; col 8 lines 26-42; col 9 lines 60-col 10 line 24];

sending said request message to said subset of client machines [Owens, group of voicemail, email, fax mail, col 17 lines 55-62].

37. As per claim 2, Owens discloses an initial step of receiving a subscription message from a subset of said client machines [Owens, group of voicemail, email, fax mail, col 17 lines 55-62].

38. As per claim 3, Owens discloses said request message is composed in that said corresponding response message only consists of one packet as a design choice of the response option.

39. As per claim 4, Owens discloses said request message is composed in a way that said chosen predetermined response options is encoded in a data portion of said respective response packet [Owens, reformat or conversions, col 2 lines 65].

40. As per claim 5, Owens discloses said request message is composed in a hypertext document format and a program that can be executed on the client machines and composes said response messages upon an interaction of a user of a client machine [Owens, Internet, col 7 lines 20].

Art Unit: 2142

41. As per claim 6, Owens discloses setting up forwarding rules includes the step of configuring one or more routers that forward said response packets [Owens, selects the forward options to define rules, col 3 lines 40-57].

42. As per claim 7, Owens discloses configuring said routers includes the step of instructing said routers to discard response messages containing predetermined response options as a filter [Owens, email gateway, col 6 line 12].

43. As per claim 8, Owens discloses configuring said routers includes the step of instructing said routers to forward response messages containing a certain response option to a specified host connected to one of said networks [Owens, email gateway, col 6 line 12].

44. As per claim 9, Owens discloses configuring said routers combine more than one response messages arriving in a given time frame and to forward the combined messages as one message [Owens, email gateway, col 6 line 12].

45. As per claim 10, Owens discloses configuring said routers includes the step of instructing at least one of said routers to store the selected option of said response options in conjunction with the identity of the sender [Owens, email gateway, col 6 line 12].

Art Unit: 2142

46. As per claim 11, Owens discloses configuring said routers includes the step of instructing at least one of said routers to determine the amount of received response messages for each response option [Owens, email gateway, col 6 line 12].

47. As per claim 12, Owens discloses the steps of receiving response messages and sending a second request message only to client machines from which a response message containing a certain response option was received [Owens, group of voicemail, email, fax mail, col 17 lines 55-62].

48. As per claim 13, Owens discloses receiving response messages and storing the options chosen grouped by said sending client machines [Owens, group of voicemail, email, fax mail, col 17 lines 55-62].

49. As per claim 14, Owens discloses a computer program product stored on a computer usable medium [Owens, repository, col 7 lines 32].

50. Claims 15,16 contain the similar limitations set forth of claim 1. Therefore, claims 15,16 are rejected for the similar rationale set forth in claim 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Thong Vu*, whose telephone number is (571)-272-3904. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Andrew Caldwell*, can be reached at (571) 272-3868. The fax number for the

Art Unit: 2142

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval IPAIRI system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PMR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thong Vu
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2142

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Thong Vu', with a horizontal line underneath.